

# **Design Database structure**

| 🔆 Status                  | Chosen for development |
|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 🚜 Assign                  | A Aaron Li             |
| ⊿ Figma                   |                        |
| $\equiv$ Completed Phases |                        |

# **1. Introduction**

Deciding how customer information will be stored can be tricky. There are many factors to consider such as scalability, structured or unstructured data, and query patterns. This doc will provide the structure for the database on storing data.

# 2. Glossary

| Relational Database     | A relational database is a type of database that organizes data into<br>tables, where each table consists of rows and columns. It uses a<br>structured approach to store and manage data, with relationships<br>established between tables using keys. This allows for efficient<br>retrieval, manipulation, and analysis of data through the use of SQL<br>(Structured Query Language) queries, providing a flexible and scalable<br>solution for data storage and retrieval in various applications.   |
|-------------------------|--|
| Non-relational Database | A non-relational database, also known as a NoSQL (Not only SQL) database, is a type of database that does not rely on the traditional tabular structure of relational databases. Instead, it offers a flexible data model that can store and retrieve unstructured or semi-structured data. Non-relational databases are designed to handle large volumes of data, and they often prioritize scalability, high performance, and horizontal scaling across distributed systems. They are commonly used in applications with rapidly changing data requirements, such as big data analytics, real-time data streaming, and content management systems. |

| Time Series Database | • Time series databases are specifically designed for handling time-<br>stamped data and optimizing storage and analysis of such data. They<br>excel at efficiently ingesting, storing, and querying data points<br>associated with timestamps. Time series databases are commonly<br>used in applications that involve monitoring, sensor data analysis,<br>financial data analysis, IoT devices, and any scenario where analyzing<br>trends and patterns over time is crucial.  |
|----------------------|---|
| ACID acronym         | Atomicity ensures that a transaction is treated as a single, indivisible<br>unit of work. It means that either all the changes made within a<br>transaction are committed to the database, or none of them are. There<br>is no partial execution. Consistency ensures that a transaction brings<br>the database from one valid state to another. It enforces predefined<br>rules and constraints, ensuring that the data remains consistent<br>throughout the transaction. Isolation ensures that concurrent<br>transactions do not interfere with each other. Each transaction<br>operates in isolation from others, and the intermediate state of a<br>transaction is not visible to other transactions until it is committed.<br>Durability ensures that once a transaction is committed, its changes<br>are permanently saved and will survive any subsequent failures, such<br>as power outages or system crashes. |

# 3. Problem

- This solution should anticipate for all future features and functionalities
- May need to consider incorporating multiple types of databases

# 4. Solutions

### **4.1 Relational Database**

This solution uses relational database to remedy the problems. Looking at this application's intended use, a rigid structure for data entries is feasible and appropriate. Because this is a small scaled project, there would be no immediate or forseeable need to scale horizontally. Because of these, by using this method, we are able to reap the additional security benefits. These include authorization and authorization checks as well as backup and recovery.

#### Option 1: Microsoft SQL Server

| Pros                                 | Cons   |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| integration with Microsoft ecosystem | costs for lisences can ramp up as application scales |
| scalable                             | designed to run on Windows OS                        |
| high performance                     | vendor lock-in                                       |
| offers developer friendly tools      | scalability can be difficult at high volumes         |
| analytics reports                    | steep learning curve                                 |
| robust security features             |  |

### Option 2: Amazon RDS

| Pros                     | Cons  |
|--------------------------|---|
| scalable                 | vendo lock-in                                       |
| automated backups        | limited control and customization to configurations |
| point-in-time backups    | limited performance                                 |
| robust security features |   |
| high availability        |   |
| fault tolerance          |   |
| AWS ecosystem            |   |
| managed service          |   |

### Option 3: Oracle

| Pros                                    | Cons   |
|---|--|
| scalable                                | costs for lisences can ramp up as application scales                 |
| various addiontal features              | steep learning curve   |
| high availability                       | vendor lock-in   |
| robust security features                | resource-intensive in terms of CPU, memory, and storage requirements |
| disaster recovery support               |  |
| high-performance transaction processing |  |

### **4.2 Document Store**

This solution uses non-relational database, specifically the docuemnt store. This is because with frequent updates to the user's listening activity, the data may change and thus need to be updated. This would result in more writes than reads which would be great because this method would be faster to write than to read. This mehtod provides a dynamic, future-proof solution for scalability. If there is a feature later on that requires the strucutre to be changed, it can be done with minimal effort. In addition, scaling horizontally is feasible.

#### Option 1: Firebase

| Pros   | Cons   |
|--|--|
| real-time features                                       | cannot perform complex queries                                     |
| can be integrated with other tools                       | vendor lock-in   |
| concise documentation                                    | can be very costly as your application scales                      |
| quick and easy integration and setup                     | authentication and authorization may not meet desired requirements |
| authentication feature                                   | limitations on serverless functions                                |
| Google Analytics and Crashlytics for additional insights | performance drops as you scale                                     |
| horizontal scalability                                   | free plan only supports basic functions                            |
| Hosting capabilities                                     |  |
| serverless functions                                     |  |

#### Option 2: mongoDB

| Pros                                  | Cons  |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| flexible data model by using BSON     | not ACID compliant  |
| scalable horizontally                 | limitations on data integrity   |
| powerful querying capabilities        | complex queries may hinder performance  |
| horizontal scalability                | may lead to duplicate data  |
| high availability and fault tolerance | not able to utilize joins to pull data from different collections efficiently |
| simple installation                   | can lead to large consumption of memory                                       |
| cloud integration                     |   |

#### **Option 3: Amazon DynamoDB**

| Pros   | Cons  |
|--|---|
| scalable horizontally  | can be costly as your application scales                            |
| high performance with high traffic                                 | limited query flexibility   |
| high availability and durability                                   | eventual consistency and not immediate consistency for all replicas |
| flexible data model by key value store                             | not ACID compliant  |
| high performance read and write operations                         | data size limitations at 400KB per item                             |
| efficient querying by automatically creating and retaining indexes | vendor lock-in  |
| multi-region replication to reduce latency for users globally      |   |
| integrated caching   |   |
| integration with AWS ecosystem                                     |   |

### 4.3 Time Series

This solution incorporates a time series database with one of the previous methods. A time series database would be used to consistently store up to date information on users' listening activity and data. This could be used for many potential features related to analysis on user's past data such as end of the year review on a user's listening experience similar to Spoify Wrapped. When needed, necessary data can be extracted from this time series database onto another one.

#### **Option 1: InfluxDB**

| Pros                       | Cons  |
|----------------------------|---|
| flexible data model        | high injestion rates will cause high resource consumption |
| powerful query capbilities | limited use case  |
| scalable                   | may contain bugs  |
| high availibility          |   |
| fault tolerance            |   |

| Pros  | Cons |
|---|------|
| ability to integrate other functionalities within ecosystem |      |
| built-in time series functions                              |      |

### Option 2: OpenTSDB

| Pros                                | Cons   |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| scalable                            | difficult to get started   |
| high performance for read and write | relies on HBase for data storage and indexing  |
| flexible data model                 | is a distributed database which is difficult for small scalred applications to manage and maintain |
| integration with Hadeep ecosystem   | limited query capacilities   |
| wide range of additional features   | limited help and community online compared to competitors  |
| built-in times series functions     |  |

### **Option 3: Prometheus**

| Pros  | Cons  |
|---|---|
| powerful metrics collections and querying                           | not as scalable as other competitors                    |
| multi-dimensional data model to allow for quick and efficient query | large datasets while require large resource consumption |
| alert system  | lack of distributed query capabilities                  |
| PromQL for complex queries  | diffiuclt to achieve high availability                  |
| dynamic service discovery for integrations                          |   |
| various expoerters and integrations available                       |   |